

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/620,317 | 07/15/2003 | Yunping Li | BBRI-2008US01 | 7947 |
| 75 | 90 09/27/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Kevin M. Farrell | | | SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G | |
| Pierce Atwood | | | | |
| Suite 350 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| One New Hampshire Avenue | | | 1614 | |
| Portsmouth, NH 03801 | | | DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/620,317 | LI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Phyllis G. Spivack | 1614 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or el | ection requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| and a second of the determined copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (F | PTO 413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date | · | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | ent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | 6) | | | | |
| TOL 000 (D | on Summary Pa | ort of Paper No./Mail Date 092304 | | | |

Art Unit: 1614

Election of Species Requirement

Claims 1-35 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 1) a compound that inhibits kinase activity to delay preterm uterine contractions; 2) a compound that inhibits the binding of calmodulin to caldesmon to delay preterm uterine contractions; 3) a compound that activates a phosphatase enzyme to delay preterm uterine contractions; and, 4) a compound that activates kinase activity for inducing uterine contractions; 5) a compound that activates the binding of calmodulin to caldesmon for inducing uterine contractions; 6) a compound that inhibits a phosphatase enzyme for inducing uterine contractions. Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species in each of the six categories for prosecution on the merits to which the claims will be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicants are advised a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that are consonant with this requirement and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species that are written in dependent form or otherwise include all of the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are

added after the election, Applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A proper election will name one specific compound in each category as set forth *supra*.

Applicants are advised that to be complete, the reply to this requirement must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack at telephone number 571-272-0585.

Phyllis G. Spivack

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

PHYLLIS SPIVACK PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 23, 2004